136639

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D.C.

DEPT. OF TR.	ákan.
Ol Alic .	AKSPORTATION
01 AUG 15	PH 4:45

Joint Application of	
ALITALIA-LINEE AEREE ITALIANE CZECH AIRLINES DELTA AIR LINES, INC. and SOCIETE AIR FRANCE)))))))) Docket OST-2001- 10429-2
under 49 U.S.C. §§ 41308 and 41309 for approval of, and antitrust immunity for, alliance agreement)))

MOTION OF CZECH AIRLINES (CSA) FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT UNDER 14 C.F.R. § 302.12

Communications with respect to this document should be sent to:

Allan I. Mendelsohn Constance O'Keefe Mendelsohn & O'Keefe 1201 Connecticut Ave., NW Suite 850 Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 775-0686

Attorneys for Czech Airlines (CSA)

Dated: August 15, 2001

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D.C.

Joint Application of	
ALITALIA-LINEE AEREE ITALIANE-)
CZECH AIRLINES)
DELTA AIR LINES, INC.)
and) Docket OST-2001-
SOCIETE AIR FRANCE,)
under 49 U.S.C. §§ 41308 and 41309 for)
approval of, and antitrust immunity for,)
alliance agreement)
)
)

MOTION OF CZECH AIRLINES (CSA) FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT UNDER 14 C.F.R. § 302.12

Czech Airlines ("CSA"), pursuant to Rule 12 of the Department's Rules of Practice, 14 C.F.R. § 302.12, hereby requests that the Department withhold from public disclosure the confidential, proprietary and commercially sensitive information CSA is filing concurrently with this Motion and under seal in the above-captioned proceeding. CSA is submitting these confidential documents to facilitate the Department's processing of the joint application for alliance approval and antitrust immunity filed by CSA and its joint applicants, Delta Air Lines, Inc. ("Delta"), Alitalia Airlines, and Air France.

The documents for which CSA requests confidential treatment are revenue statistical reports for the period 1998-2001 and supporting translated documents. In support of this request, CSA submits the following:

I. CSA's CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS ARE PROTECTED FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

The confidential documents and information submitted herewith in conjunction with CSA's joint application with Delta, Air France and Alitalia for antitrust immunity are protected from public disclosure under various exemptions under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), including 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3) and 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4). The purpose of these exemptions "is to protect the confidentiality of information which citizens provide to their government, but which would customarily not be released to the public, and to facilitate citizens' ability to confide in their government." Burke Energy Corp. v. DOE, 583 F. Supp. 507, 510 (D. Kan. 1984).

FOIA paragraph (4) exempts from public disclosure "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential." 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4). This exemption has been construed to prevent public disclosure of information that is not of the type usually released to the public, and that if released would cause substantial harm to the competitive position of the person from whom the information was obtained. See, e.g., Gulf & Western Industries, Inc. v. United States, 615 F.2d 527, 530 (D.C. Cir. 1980); American Airlines, Inc. v. NMB, 588 F.2d 863, 871 (2d Cir. 1978); National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Kleppe, 547 F.2d 673, 684 (D.C. Cir. 1976); Joint Application of United and Lufthansa, Order 93-12-32, December 18, 1993; Joint Application of Northwest and KLM, Order 93-1-11, January 8, 1993, p. 19; Information Directives Concerning CRS, Order 88-5-46, May 22, 1988; Carrier-

Owned Computer Reservations Systems, ER-1385, Order 86-5-54, May 19, 1986; <u>Information</u>
Directives Concerning CRS, Order 83-12-136, December 29, 1983.

For information to qualify for exemption (4), the information must be (1) commercial or financial in nature, (2) obtained from a person, and (3) privileged or confidential. See Public Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA, 704 F.2d 1280, 1290 (D.C. Cir. 1983). All of the confidential information submitted by CSA qualifies for exemption from public disclosure under this three-part test standard. The documents and information relate to commercially sensitive, proprietary, and privileged financial and corporate matters. The information was obtained from a private citizen and is not of the type generally released to the public. The public disclosure of the information is not required to further the public interest or to promote competition. In National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton, 498 F.2d 765, 770 (D.C. Cir. 1974), the court held that information is "confidential" for purposes of exemption (4) if it would not customarily be released to the public by the person from whom it was obtained, and if disclosure is likely to have either of the following results: "(1) to impair the Government's ability to obtain necessary information in the future; or (2) to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of the person from whom the information was obtained."

CSA submits that public disclosure of the type of confidential information at issue here would cause substantial harm to its respective competitive position, and could impair the Government's ability to obtain similar information on a voluntary basis from individuals in the future.

In addition, withholding the subject confidential information from public disclosure is also provided for under FOIA exemption (3). 5 U.S.C. §552(b)(3). Exemption (3) pertains to information specifically exempted from disclosure by some other statute, such as 49 U.S.C. § 40115. The release of the information which is the subject of this motion may "prejudice the formulation and presentation of positions of the United States in international negotiations" with foreign governments and "would have an adverse effect on the competitive position of an air carrier in foreign air transportation," and would therefore be inconsistent with 49 U.S.C. §40115.

The information is being submitted to the Department so that the Department may expeditiously evaluate the public interest benefits that will result from granting approval of and antitrust immunity for the Delta, Air France, Alitalia and Czech Airlines alliance.

II. ACCESS TO THESE CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE RESTRICTED TO COUNSEL AND OUTSIDE EXPERTS

CSA is submitting highly sensitive internal corporate documents and information that should be accorded limited access. Such access should be granted only to counsel and outside experts who file affidavits stating that the affiant will (1) use the information only for the purpose of participating in this proceeding, and (2) not disclose such information to anyone other than counsel or outside experts who have filed a valid affidavit.

In order to minimize the risk of harmful disclosure of this competitively sensitive information, access should be strictly limited, as requested. CSA is separately filing,

concurrently with this motion, four copies of this information, in a sealed envelope labeled "Confidential Treatment Requested Under 14 C.F.R. Section 302.12; Access Is Limited To Counsel Or Outside Experts Who Have Filed Valid Confidentiality Affidavits."

The request to limit disclosure to counsel and outside experts is fully consistent with Department precedent and policy. Thus, in <u>United/Lufthansa</u>, Order 93-12-32, the Department granted the applicants' request to limit access to certain confidential information to counsel and outside experts who filed appropriate affidavits. In restricting such access, the Department balanced the disclosure of confidential information against the competitive harm to the applicants that would result if access were expanded, and concluded that "the undue competitive harm to the applicants outweighs the commenters' need for expanded access to the highly sensitive material in this case." <u>Id.</u> at 5. The Department also noted that "interested parties to this proceeding can obtain adequate advice on the merits of the application through outside experts and persons authorized to review the materials." <u>Id.</u> See also Joint Application of <u>American and Canadian International</u>, Order 96-1-6, January 11, 1996, at 3.

Access to CSA's internal documents and data should be limited in a comparable manner, in light of the undue competitive harm to CSA that would result from a broader disclosure of such highly sensitive information.

Motion of Alitalia for **Confidential Treatment** Page 6

For the foregoing reasons, the Department should grant CSA's motion to withhold certain proprietary and commercially sensitive confidential documents and information from public disclosure, as requested herein.

ectfully submitted

Constance O'Keefe Mendelsohn & O'Keefe 1201 Connecticut Ave., NW

Suite 850

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 775-0686

Attorneys for Czech Airlines (CSA)

Dated: August 15, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion by Czech Airlines for Confidential Treatment has been served this 15th day of August, 2001, upon each of the following persons in accordance with the Department's rules.

Ambassador Embassy of France 4101 Reservoir Road, N.W. Washington, DC

Ambassador Embassy of the Czech Republic 3900 Spring of Freedom Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20008

Megan Rae Rosia Managing Director, Government Affairs and Associate General Counsel Northwest Airlines, Inc. 901 15th Street, N.W., Suite 310 Washington, D.C. 20005

Jeffrey A. Manley Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

David L. Vaughan Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Donald T. Bliss O'Melveny & Myers LLP 555 13th Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20004-1109 Ambassador Embassy of Italy 3000 Whitehaven Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20008

R. Bruce Keiner, Jr. Lorraine B. Halloway Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2595

Carl B. Nelson, Jr. Associate General Counsel American Airlines, Inc. 1101 17th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

John L. Richardson Crispin & Brenner, P.L.L.C. 1156 15th Street, N.W., Suite 1105 Washington, D.C. 20005

Lorraine B. Halloway Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20004

Anita M. Mosner Eclat Consulting, Inc. 1555 Wilson Boulevard Suite 602 Arlington, VA 22209 Richard P. Taylor Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Stephen H. Lachter 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20036

Nathaniel P. Breed, Jr. Shaw Pittman LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Jeffrey N. Shane Hogan & Hartson LLP 555 – 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Joanne Young
David Kirstein
Baker & Hostetler, LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036

Julie Sorenson Sande World Airways, Inc. HLH Building 101 World Drive Peachtree City, GA 30269 Marshall S. Sinick Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. P.O. Box 407 Washington, D.C. 20044-0407

Office of Aviation Negotiations Department of State 2201 C Street, N.W. (Room 5531) Washington, D.C. 20520

Edgar N. James Marie Chopra James & Hoffman, P.C. 1101 – 17th Street, N.W. (Suite 510) Washington, D.C. 20036

William C. Evans
Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard,
McPherson and Hand
901 – 15th Street, N.W. (Suite 700)
Washington, D.C. 20005

Alfred J. Eichenlaub Senior Vice President and General Counsel Polar Air Cargo, Inc. 100 Oceangate Long Beach, CA 90802

Brian T. Hunt American Trans Air P. O. Box 51609 Indianapolis, IN 46252 Roger Fones
Chief, Transportation, Energy &
Agricultural Section
Antitrust Division
U.S. Department of Justice
325 Seventh Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20530

James W. Tello Roller & Bauer, PLLC 1020 – 19th Street, NW (Suite 400) Washington, DC 20036

U.S. TRANSCOM/TCJ5-AA Attn: Air Mobility Analysis 508 Scott Drive Scott AFB, IL 62225-5357 Robert D. Papkin Squire, Sanders & Dempsey LLLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20004

Director of Flight Standards Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591

Allan I. Mendelsohn